



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES IN BUSINESS AND EDUCATION



CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS



Publishing house "Science and economics"
University of Economics - Varna

International Conference
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION
TECHNOLOGIES IN
BUSINESS AND EDUCATION

Conference proceedings

**INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION
TECHNOLOGIES IN
BUSINESS AND EDUCATION**

**Proceedings of the International Conference
dedicated to the
50th anniversary
of the Department of Informatics**

2019

University publishing house “Science and economics”
University of Economics – Varna

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Permission for use must always be obtained from University publishing house “Science and economics”. Please contact izdatel@ue-varna.bg

Published reports have not been edited or adjusted. The authors are solely responsible for the content, originality and errors of their own fault.

With the support of ADASTRA, 411 Marketing Business Services.

© University publishing house “Science and economics”,
University of Economics – Varna, 2019.

ISBN 978-954-21-1004-0

PROGRAMME COMMITTEE

Abdel-Badeeh M. Salem, Egypt

Aijaz A. Shaikh, Finland

Aleksandar Dimov, Bulgaria

Alexander Feldman, USA

Alexander Sergeev, Russia

Antoanela Naaji, Romania

Avram Eskenazi, Bulgaria

Dariusz Mrozek, Poland

Dimitrina Polimirova, Bulgaria

Dmitriy Berg, Russia

Elena Nechita, Romania

Emil Denchev, Bulgaria

Georgi Dimitrov, Bulgaria

Ivan Popchev, Bulgaria

Jeanne Schreurs, Belgium

Julian Vasilev, Bulgaria

Kameliya Stefanova, Bulgaria

Krasimir Shishmanov, Bulgaria

Maciej Czaplewski, Poland

Maciej Pondel, Poland

Maksim Medvedev, Russia

Maria Hristova, Bulgaria

Marian Cristescu, Romania

Marina Medvedeva, Russia

Michael Voskoglou, Greece

Nadezhda Filipova, Bulgaria

Nikola Nikolov, Ireland

Niv Ahituv, Israel

Pavel Petrov, Bulgaria

Rami Malkawi, Jordan

Sara Paiva, Portugal

Silvia Parusheva, Bulgaria

Snezhana Sulova, Bulgaria

Todorka Atanasova, Bulgaria

Valentin Kisimov, Bulgaria
Valery Trofimov, Russia
Veselin Popov, Bulgaria
Violeta Kraeva, Bulgaria
Vladimir Sulov, Bulgaria
Vladimir Zanev, USA
Zdzislaw Polkowski, Poland

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Chairman: Prof. Vladimir Sulov, PhD

Members:

Prof. Avram Eskenazi, PhD
Prof. Julian Vasilev, PhD
Assoc. Prof. Todorka Atanasova, PhD
Assoc. Prof. Silvia Parusheva, PhD
Assoc. Prof. Snezhana Sulova, PhD
Assoc. Prof. Pavel Petrov, PhD

Secretary: Tijen Talib

FOREWORD

These proceedings contain the papers of the International Conference “Information and Communication Technologies in Business and Education” which took place at the University of Economics – Varna, Bulgaria, 18 October 2019.

The international scientific conference is dedicated to the **50th anniversary of the Department of Informatics at the University of Economics – Varna**. The conference is also dedicated to the 100th anniversary of the University. The included papers describe recent scientific and practical developments in the field of information and communication technologies, information systems, and their applications in business and education.

The papers in the Proceedings are peer reviewed and are checked for plagiarism.

C O N T E N T S

1. Kamelia Stefanova, Dorina Kabakchieva Challenges and Perspectives of Digital Transformation	13
2. Julian Vasilev, Marian Cristescu Approaches for information sharing from manufacturing logistics with downstream supply chain partners	24
3. Abdel-Badeeh M. Salem Computational Intelligence in Smart Education and Learning	30
4. Marian Niedźwiedziński Proposal of the Multi-aspect Analysis of ICT's Needs in Management	41
5. Krasimir Shishmanov Digital Transformation in Bulgaria	52
6. Tatyana Makarchuk, Valery Trofimov, Svetlana Demchenko Modeling the life cycle of e-learning course using Moodle Cloud LMS	62
7. Vanya Lazarova Exploring the functionality of Bulgaria eGovernment site	72
8. Veselin Popov, Petya Emilova Big data and information security	83
9. Luben Boyanov Approaches for enhancing digitalization and digital transformation in supply chain management	91

10. Monika Tsaneva	
Challenges of GDPR compliance in consumer financing companies	103
11. Todorka Atanasova	
Main factors influencing digitization in construction companies	116
12. Silvia Parusheva	
Digitalization and digital transformation in construction – benefits and challenges	126
13. Snezhana Sulova	
The Usage of Data Lake for Business Intelligence Data Analysis	135
14. Pavel Petrov	
Web security technologies used in banks of Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania	145
15. Tanka Milkova	
ABC analysis of inventory in MS Excel	155
16. Ivan Kuyumdzhev, Radka Nacheva	
Choosing Storage Devices with Consideration of Backup and Restore Performance in MS SQL SERVER	166
17. Yanka Aleksandrova	
Predicting Students Performance in Moodle Platforms Using Machine Learning Algorithms	177
18. Latinka Todoranova, Bonimir Penchev	
M-learning Applications	188
19. Boris Bankov	
The Impact of Social Media on Video Game Communities and the Gaming Industry	198

20. Velina Koleva, Svetoslav Ivanov	
Social media and the recruitment of IT professionals in Bulgarian IT companies	209
21. Deyan Mihaylov	
Modeling and Simulation of Some Functions of Two Independent Random Variables	225
22. Oumar Sy	
Using Association Rules as Semantics for Domain Ontologies	233
23. Ina Stanoeva	
Social media possibilities for improving arts marketing performance	247
24. Iskren Tairov, Vladislav Vasilev	
Perspectives on mobile devices adoption in healthcare sector	257
25. Angelin Lalev	
Deep Neural Networks for Detection of Credit Card Fraud	263
26. Miglena Stoyanova	
Priorities for Digital Transformation in Property Management	275
27. Mihail Radev	
Organizational Variants of IT Department at the University	284
28. Mariya Armyanova	
IoT problems and design patterns which are appropriate to solve them	291
29. Yavor Christov	
Corporate Big Data and Hybrid Integration Platforms	306

30. Ivan Belev	
Making financial data readable using Inline XBRL	314
31. Ivan Belev	
eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) – a technical overview	322
32. Anna Sobczak	
Entrepreneurship in the education of university students	331
33. Mihaela Markova	
E-commerce in the process of digital transformation: preparedness, requirements and technological tendencies	343
34. Petya Petrova	
Basic Soft Computing Methods In User Profile Modeling	353
35. Petar Dimitrov	
Methods for storing authentication data – a historical review	369
36. Svetoslav Ivanov	
Development stages of starting software company, problems and approaches for software development	382
37. Antonio Hadzhikolev	
The role of the smart city concept in the process of urban transformation	397
38. Oumar Sy	
Ontology-based materialized views for reverse engineering: Toward a set of conceptual guidelines	410

ABC ANALYSIS OF INVENTORY IN MS EXCEL

Tanka Milkova¹

*¹ University of Economics – Varna,
Bulgaria, tankamilkova@ue-varna.bg*

Abstract

The technologies in IT industry take important part in all the areas of business and education in the contemporary world. It is hard to imagine for most of the activities to go smoothly without computer and software. The purpose of this paper is to present an idea for inventory ABC analysis. A sample dataset is used. Classification of inventory by empirical and graphical method in MS Excel is carried out. The proposed methodology can be used in the educational processes for students and also for real practical applications in inventory management in logistics.

Keywords: *ABC analysis, MS Excel.*

INTRODUCTION

Inventory management is a combination of complex processes and activities which are important elements in the logistical system of each organisation in the contemporary economy. Inventories are found in every logistics system as they represent the static state of material flow and they are major management objects in logistics. This determines their importance and is the main reason for the continuous theoretical and practical interest in the problems related to their management. There are different concepts and methods for inventory management.

However, the first step in inventory management is to classify their nomenclature and to group them and for each of these a set of management models and methods is recommended. The classical methods for classifying the inventories' nomenclature are the well-known ABC analysis method and XYZ analysis method (Dybskaya, V. V., etc., 2008, p. 594 - 609; Sterligova, A. N., 2012, p. 353 – 376; Sergeev, V. I., etc., 2005, p. 535 – 545.) and some of their more recent modifications which are known in the literature, such as FSN, VED, HML, SDE analysis methods, etc. (<<https://www.syntacticsinc.com/news-articles-cat/inventory-analysis-methods/>> [Accessed 23/08/2019]; <<https://scialert.net/fulltext>

[/?doi=ajava.2018.85.90](https://doi=ajava.2018.85.90)> [Accessed 23/08/2019]; <<https://mywestford.com/blog/fsn-analysis-in-inventory-management/>> [Accessed 23/08/2019]; <<https://www.unleashedsoftware.com/blog/fsn-analysis-can-useful-tool-inventory-management>> [Accessed 23/08/2019]).

The application of ABC analysis is a laborious process, especially in the presence of a volumetric inventory. With the help of information technology, this method can be applied without serious difficulty.

In this report the author aims to present an opportunity to perform an ABC analysis of inventories' nomenclature using MS Excel. The proposed method can be used both in the students teaching process and in the actual inventory management in logistics.

1. ESSENCE OF ABC ANALYSIS AND METHODS OF CLASSIFICATION OF INVENTORY NOMENCLATURE

The ABC method of analysis is based on the well-known in the economy Pareto rule or 80/20 rule. According to the 80/20 rule, 20% of the elements composing a phenomenon determine 80% of its occurrence. With regard to stock inventories, the 80/20 rule can be interpreted in the following ways: 20% of the maintained inventories are related to 80% of the total cost of purchasing all types of inventories; 20% of the maintained inventories are related to 80% of the total management costs of all types of inventories, etc.

The ABC method is a way of analysing and controlling the status of inventories, which is boiled down to the distribution of the nomenclature of all stock inventories into three groups A, B and C on the basis of some formal algorithm.

The first stage of the application of this method involves the choice of a classification criterion. The classification criterion should be chosen in accordance with the objectives of inventory management and may be: total value of inventories of each kind; total costs associated with each type of inventory; profitability of each type of inventory, etc.

The second stage of the implementation of the ABC method is connected with the ordering of the nomenclature of stock inventories in descending order according to the selected classification criterion. For that purpose, it is necessary to determine the importance of each item in the inventory nomenclature according to the chosen classification criterion.

For example, if a classification of the total value of inventories is made, the monetary total value of inventories should be determined from each item of the nomenclature corresponding to the average level of inventories of that type, etc.

In the third stage, the nomenclature of all inventories is divided into three groups A, B and C, and subsequently, for each of the groups of inventories appropriate management methods are set out, which are inspected in detail in the specialized literature. In Group A, inventories are of the highest significance and importance to the organization, group B refers to inventories of intermediate importance, and group C refers to inventories that are the least important to the organization.

In the literature (Lukinsky, V. S., 2007, p. 3 – 20; Lukinsky, V. S., 2003, p.26 – 38.) there are three basic methods for classification of the stock nomenclature - empirical method, differential method and graphical method. The following two will be used in this study:

- An empirical method. It is the easiest one to use and is expressed in the following. In Group A, the first 20% of the descending order of inventory is regarded. Group B accounts for the next 30% of the descending order of inventory nomenclature. Group C refers to the last 50% of the descending order of inventory.

- Graphical method. In this method, after the stock inventory nomenclature is arranged in descending order according to the chosen criterion, the relative indexes q_i (в %) are calculated - the relative share of the i -th type in the total value of all stock inventories according to the selected criterion ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, where N is the number of inventories in the nomenclature).

It is calculated by the formula

$$q_i = \frac{c_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N c_i} \cdot 100, \quad (1)$$

where c_i denotes the i -th inventory type according to the selected classification criterion.

The quantities q_i are summed up in increasing order and a summary part of the total value of the inventory in percentage $\sum q_i$ is obtained, according to the chosen criterion. In the graphical method, the obtained quantities are plotted on the ordinate axis of the coordinate system, and

the indexes $1, 2, \dots, N$ are plotted on the abscissa. The points with coordinates $(I; \sum q_i)$ of the coordinate system merge lightly with the cumulative curve $OO'D$ (т. $O(0;0)$, $D(N; \sum q_N)$, $\sum q_N = 100\%$, which is generally concave. Then a tangent l_1 is constructed to the curve $OO'D$, which is parallel to OD . The abscissa of the tangent point O' shows the boundary between groups A and B, and the ordinate of this point shows the total part of the inventories of Group A according to the chosen classification criterion.

In order to continue dividing the stock inventory nomenclature into groups, it is necessary to connect the points O' and D with a straight line, and then construct a tangent l_2 to the curve $OO'D$, parallel to $O'D$. The abscissa of the tangent point O'' shows the boundary between groups B and C, and the ordinate of this point shows the total part of inventories of groups A and B according to the chosen classification criterion.

2. EXECUTING ABC ANALYSIS IN MS EXCEL

The classification of the inventory's nomenclature by empirical and graphical method in the MS Excel will be presented on the basis of sample data. A 25-items nomenclature has been intentionally chosen in order to be able to visualize the results of each stage.

Let's assume that information for the unit price and average inventory level of each type is available (fig.1). The total value of the inventories of each item in the nomenclature is defined as a product of price and quantity.

S30						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Inventory					
2	No	Name	Price (lv.)	Quantity	Total value (lv.)	
3	1	Stock 001	0,29	45	13,05	
4	2	Stock 002	0,48	18	8,64	
5	3	Stock 003	6,15	150	922,5	
6	4	Stock 004	6,15	125	768,75	
7	5	Stock 005	4,00	175	700	
8	6	Stock 006	5,75	250	1437,5	
9	7	Stock 007	2,98	72	214,56	
10	8	Stock 008	8,32	18	149,76	
11	9	Stock 009	2,50	5	12,5	
12	10	Stock 010	3,10	25	77,5	
13	11	Stock 011	1,33	16	21,28	
14	12	Stock 012	1,30	3	3,9	
15	13	Stock 013	0,16	5	0,8	
16	14	Stock 014	0,43	107	46,01	
17	15	Stock 015	3,33	4	13,32	
18	16	Stock 016	2,31	9	20,79	
19	17	Stock 017	2,23	6	13,38	
20	18	Stock 018	1,17	10	11,7	
21	19	Stock 019	5,11	40	204,4	
22	20	Stock 020	1,05	32	33,6	
23	21	Stock 021	1,68	25	42	
24	22	Stock 022	4,05	31	125,55	
25	23	Stock 023	8,24	11	90,64	
26	24	Stock 024	0,40	22	8,8	
27	25	Stock 025	7,85	8	62,8	
28						


Figure 1. Inventory's nomenclature

As a classification criterion, we choose the total value of inventories of each type, i.e. the most important for the organization will be the inventories with the biggest investment, and the least important ones will be those that need the least financial resources.

The next step is to rearrange the inventory nomenclature in descending order by their total value. With MS Excel this is a simple procedure. The Sort function from the Data menu is used, and for the sort criterion (Sort by) Total Inventory is pointed. Sorting from the largest to smallest item must be set. One particular point here is that the entire

table (zone B2: E27) must be marked in order not to lose the link between the inventory name and its total value (fig. 1).

After ordering the inventories' nomenclature in descending order by total value, it becomes as following (Fig. 2).



	A	B	C	D	E	F
1						
2	No	Name	Price (lv.)	Quantity	Total value (lv.)	
3	1	Stock 006	5,75	250	1437,5	
4	2	Stock 003	6,15	150	922,5	
5	3	Stock 004	6,15	125	768,75	
6	4	Stock 005	4,00	175	700	
7	5	Stock 007	2,98	72	214,56	
8	6	Stock 019	5,11	40	204,4	
9	7	Stock 008	8,32	18	149,76	
10	8	Stock 022	4,05	31	125,55	
11	9	Stock 023	8,24	11	90,64	
12	10	Stock 010	3,10	25	77,5	
13	11	Stock 025	7,85	8	62,8	
14	12	Stock 014	0,43	107	46,01	
15	13	Stock 021	1,68	25	42	
16	14	Stock 020	1,05	32	33,6	
17	15	Stock 011	1,33	16	21,28	
18	16	Stock 016	2,31	9	20,79	
19	17	Stock 017	2,23	6	13,38	
20	18	Stock 015	3,33	4	13,32	
21	19	Stock 001	0,29	45	13,05	
22	20	Stock 009	2,50	5	12,5	
23	21	Stock 018	1,17	10	11,7	
24	22	Stock 024	0,40	22	8,8	
25	23	Stock 002	0,48	18	8,64	
26	24	Stock 012	1,30	3	3,9	
27	25	Stock 013	0,16	5	0,8	
28						

Figure 2. Inventories' nomenclature in descending order of total value

S31						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Inventory					
2	Nº	Name	Price (lv.)	Quantity	Total value (lv.)	Group
3	1	Stock 006	5,75	250	1437,5	A
4	2	Stock 003	6,15	150	922,5	
5	3	Stock 004	6,15	125	768,75	
6	4	Stock 005	4,00	175	700	
7	5	Stock 007	2,98	72	214,56	
8	6	Stock 019	5,11	40	204,4	B
9	7	Stock 008	8,32	18	149,76	
10	8	Stock 022	4,05	31	125,55	
11	9	Stock 023	8,24	11	90,64	
12	10	Stock 010	3,10	25	77,5	
13	11	Stock 025	7,85	8	62,8	C
14	12	Stock 014	0,43	107	46,01	
15	13	Stock 021	1,68	25	42	
16	14	Stock 020	1,05	32	33,6	
17	15	Stock 011	1,33	16	21,28	
18	16	Stock 016	2,31	9	20,79	
19	17	Stock 017	2,23	6	13,38	
20	18	Stock 015	3,33	4	13,32	
21	19	Stock 001	0,29	45	13,05	
22	20	Stock 009	2,50	5	12,5	
23	21	Stock 018	1,17	10	11,7	
24	22	Stock 024	0,40	22	8,8	
25	23	Stock 002	0,48	18	8,64	
26	24	Stock 012	1,30	3	3,9	
27	25	Stock 013	0,16	5	0,8	
28						

Figure 3. Inventories' nomenclature classified by empirical method

The next step is to apply the empirical method of classifying inventories on the ordered nomenclature. According to it, the first 20% of the inventories, or $20\% \cdot 25 = 5$, i.e. the top 5 items in the rearranged nomenclature fall into Group A. In group B, the next 30% or $30\% \cdot 25 = 7,5$, rounded to an integer is 8, i.e. the next 8 elements of the nomenclature. The rest inventories from item 14 to item 25 belong to group C (fig. 3).

In the next step we will show how the graphical method of classifying the inventory's nomenclature can be applied, which is a bit more laborious and involves some additional calculations (fig. 4).

Firstly, the total value of all stocks is determined as the sum of the total values of the particular items in the nomenclature. The formula „=SUM(E3: E27)“ entered in cell E28 was used. This value is used to calculate the relative proportion of each element in the nomenclature using formula (1). For this purpose, the column G in the table in MS Excel was used. In the cells from G3 to G27 by copying are entered the following formulas „=E3*100/\$E\$28“, „=E4*100/\$E\$28“, ..., „=E27*100/\$E\$28“.

The next step after this is to calculate the total relative shares of stocks in the nomenclature and use column H. The relative share of the first element of the nomenclature must be entered in box H3 and therefore the formula '= G3' is used. The sum of the relative shares of inventories from the first to the current position must be entered in each subsequent cell of this column. Therefore, the formulas „=SUM(\$G\$3:G4)“, „=SUM(\$G\$3:G5)“, ..., „=SUM(\$G\$3:G27)“ are entered in cells H4 to H27.

ABC analysis - Microsoft Excel

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View								
Q30								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	Inventory							
2	Nº	Name	Price (lv.)	Quantity	Total value (lv.)		Relative share (%)	Total relative share (%)
3	1	Stock 006	5,75	250	1437,5		28,73	28,73
4	2	Stock 003	6,15	150	922,5		18,44	47,16
5	3	Stock 004	6,15	125	768,75		15,36	62,53
6	4	Stock 005	4,00	175	700		13,99	76,52
7	5	Stock 007	2,98	72	214,56		4,29	80,81
8	6	Stock 019	5,11	40	204,4		4,08	84,89
9	7	Stock 008	8,32	18	149,76		2,99	87,88
10	8	Stock 022	4,05	31	125,55		2,51	90,39
11	9	Stock 023	8,24	11	90,64		1,81	92,20
12	10	Stock 010	3,10	25	77,5		1,55	93,75
13	11	Stock 025	7,85	8	62,8		1,26	95,01
14	12	Stock 014	0,43	107	46,01		0,92	95,93
15	13	Stock 021	1,68	25	42		0,84	96,77
16	14	Stock 020	1,05	32	33,6		0,67	97,44
17	15	Stock 011	1,33	16	21,28		0,43	97,86
18	16	Stock 016	2,31	9	20,79		0,42	98,28
19	17	Stock 017	2,23	6	13,38		0,27	98,55
20	18	Stock 015	3,33	4	13,32		0,27	98,81
21	19	Stock 001	0,29	45	13,05		0,26	99,07
22	20	Stock 009	2,50	5	12,5		0,25	99,32
23	21	Stock 018	1,17	10	11,7		0,23	99,56
24	22	Stock 024	0,40	22	8,8		0,18	99,73
25	23	Stock 002	0,48	18	8,64		0,17	99,91
26	24	Stock 012	1,30	3	3,9		0,08	99,98
27	25	Stock 013	0,16	5	0,8		0,02	100,00
28	SUM				5003,73			
29								

Figure 4. Calculations in which is necessary to apply the graphical method for classifying the inventory's nomenclature

Column H values are used to construct the cumulative curve. In MS Excel, it is also easy to do this by entering a graph, such as Line or Scatter (fig. 5).

After the cumulative curve has been constructed, two tangent lines to the curve should be constructed in succession based on the algorithm described above, showing where the boundary between the different groups of inventories are.

Building a plan related to the graphical method can be accomplished without much difficulty using the Line drawing tool included in Shapes from the Insert menu.




Figure 5. Graphical method for classifying inventory's nomenclature

The following result is obtained when working with the suggested sample data for inventory's nomenclature and drawing the plan according to the described graphical method: Group A must include the inventories which are in the first 6 positions of the rearranged nomenclature; Group B must include inventories in positions 7 to 12; Group C should include inventories from 13th to 25th position.

When implementing the ABC analysis method in practice it is a matter of manager's decision which method for determining of the groups A, B and C will be used. Usually the results are similar but not always identical and up to now there are no clear instructions which is the one to be preferred for application.

Some difficulties may occur when applying the graphical method if the volume of the inventory's nomenclature is relatively bigger. In this case an approximated function of the cumulative curve may be used which is obtained for example by the "least squares method". Then, without needing a graph, we may determine the coordinates of the points which mark the boundaries between the three groups inventories, using some calculus skills (straight line in plane and derivatives of function of

one variable). This can also be performed by using MS Excel which will reduce the volume of the calculation procedures and will give quite accurate results.

CONCLUSION

The method proposed for ABC analysis of the inventories in the logistical system by MS Excel is definitely reducing the number of the calculation procedures. It is an easy and applicatory tool and can be used by a wide range of consumers, having in mind how popular the software is. Its application in education is also profitable because it makes the method clear and comprehensible. It is immediately applicable in practice when working with real data and bigger nomenclatures.

The approach proposed in the paper is another confirmation of the necessity of applying the technologies in IT industry in all the areas of business and education in the contemporary society.

REFERENCES

1. DYBSKAYA, V. V. etc. (2008) Logistics. Integration and optimization of logistic business processes in supply chains. *Moscow: Eksmo*. [in Russian]
2. LUKINSKY, V. S. etc. (2007) Logistics in examples and tasks. *Moscow: Finance and statistics*. [in Russian]
3. LUKINSKY, V. S. (2003) Models and methods of the theory of logistics. *St. Petersburg: Peter*. [in Russian]
4. SERGEEV, V. I., etc. (2005) Corporate Logistics. 300 answers to questions from professionals. *Moscow: INFRA-M*. [in Russian]
5. STERLIGOVA, A. N. (2012) Inventory management in Supply Chain. *Moscow: INFRA-M*. [in Russian]
6. <https://mywestford.com/blog/fsn-analysis-in-inventory-management/>
7. <https://scialert.net/fulltext/?doi=ajava.2018.85.90>
8. <https://www.syntacticsinc.com/news-articles-cat/inventory-analysis-methods/>
9. <https://www.unleashedsoftware.com/blog/fsn-analysis-can-useful-tool-inventory-management>